

# GEOPOLITICAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE RUSSIA-UKRAINE WAR ON GLOBAL SECURITY

Mahrukh Saman Lal

MS Scholar, Security and Strategic Studies, Department of Political Science and International Relations, University of Management and Technology, Lahore Punjab Pakistan

Corresponding Author: \*  
Mahrukh Saman Lal

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15867862>

Received	Revised	Accepted	Published
19 April, 2025	25 May, 2025	25 June, 2025	12 July, 2025

## ABSTRACT

The ongoing war between Russia and Ukraine, which turned into a full-scale invasion in 2022, stands as one of the most significant conflicts in modern European history, fundamentally altering global security dynamics. This study delves into the geopolitical and security implications of the conflict, using the framework of offensive realism and broader narratives of strategic culture. By examining the root causes—such as NATO's expansion, historical grievances, and Russia's ambition for regional dominance—the research highlights how this war has rekindled great-power rivalry, echoing the Cold War era, yet with its own unique hybrid and asymmetric characteristics. The findings reveal serious weaknesses in Europe's security framework, a rise in militarization across the continent, and an increase in hybrid threats like disinformation. Additionally, the effects of the conflict reach far beyond Europe, sparking energy crises, food shortages, refugee movements, and heightened geopolitical fragmentation. Through a comprehensive geopolitical analysis, the study stresses the pressing need for updated security governance frameworks that balance deterrence with diplomatic efforts to prevent escalation and maintain international stability.

**Keywords:** Russia-Ukraine War, NATO expansion, Food Insecurity, Global Security.

## INTRODUCTION

The Russia-Ukraine war, which began in 2022, stands out as one of the most significant military conflicts in Europe since the Cold War came to a close. It's not just reshaping global power dynamics; it's also revealing serious weaknesses in international security systems. Beyond the tragic humanitarian toll, this conflict has sparked wide-ranging effects on geopolitical stability, energy security, and the future of global alliances. The Russia-Ukraine conflict has reignited a long-standing geopolitical rivalry between Western nations and the Russian Federation. After the Soviet Union fell apart in 1991, tensions between these powers eased somewhat, but the impact was still felt across the former Soviet republics. Concerned about potential Russian aggression and the threat to their sovereignty, many of these republics decided to join the North Atlantic

Treaty Organization (NATO), a military alliance that includes the United States and various European countries. (1)

The ongoing conflict has really ramped up tensions between Russia and Western nations, prompting a fresh look at military alliances like NATO and causing European countries to rethink their defense strategies(2). The way NATO is ramping up its presence in Eastern Europe—by sending in more troops and military equipment—has raised alarms in Russia, who sees it as a direct threat. This has made it even trickier to find diplomatic solutions to ease the tensions.(3)

At first glance, this new era seems a lot like the Cold War, but there are some key differences. While today's situation does involve a clash of values, it's not nearly as centered on ideology as

the struggle between communism and liberal democracy was back then. There's also a traditional military aspect to consider, but it's not the main focus—at least not yet. The current crisis has far-reaching global effects, but it isn't the core issue of the global system. (4) This escalation has triggered a notable surge in military spending throughout Europe. Countries like Germany, Sweden, and Finland are significantly boosting their defense budgets, marking a striking departure from their historically neutral or lightly militarized stances (5)

The war and its far-reaching consequences have really brought to light Europe's susceptibility to a range of other threats and challenges. These include everything from cyber attacks and energy dependencies to technological weaknesses, issues with producing and coordinating defense materials, and the pressing need for secure communication lines, just to name a few. On top of that, Russia's violation of the core principles of the UN Charter and the serious human rights abuses stemming from the war pose a significant threat to the liberal world order, undermining the fundamental values that the EU is founded on and which are central to much of its foreign policy. Still, the EU's response and what some have called "the European Union's transformation after Russia's attack on Ukraine" came as quite a surprise. (6)

The ongoing war between Russia and Ukraine, which turned into a full-scale invasion in February 2022 after years of simmering tensions since Crimea was annexed in 2014, has become one of the most urgent global issues of the 21st century. This conflict poses a significant threat to international peace, global economic stability, environmental sustainability, and the credibility of international institutions. Despite numerous diplomatic attempts, including negotiations facilitated by the United Nations and regional organizations like the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE), the fighting shows no signs of stopping. This ongoing violence highlights a critical weakness in the global conflict resolution system, which has struggled to ease tensions or ensure adherence to peace agreements. (7)

The world is facing some serious dangers that could have a significant impact on international peace and security. Much of this hinges on how the United States and NATO respond to Russia.

If Russia continues its war in Ukraine, what will happen to the people who rely on wheat from both Russia and Ukraine? And what about the European nations that depend on Russian gas? How will the countries that welcomed Ukrainian refugees cope with food shortages, unemployment, and the economic downturn they're experiencing? Can the United States step up to support all European nations in the future, or will these countries become collateral damage in the cultural and ideological clash between the West and the East? Additionally, how would the U.S. and NATO react if China decided to take military action to annex Taiwan? All these questions are intertwined with the future of the Russian-Ukrainian conflict and could have serious consequences for the international system, global security, and peace. (8) The research aims to tackle some pressing questions: What's the impact on global security by the Russian-Ukrainian war? What are the underlying causes of the conflict and what drives the key players involved? How does this war impact international security? And if the conflict drags on, what potential scenarios could unfold in the future? This research will provide insights into these questions through a geopolitical analytical lens. This research sets out to take a deep dive into the Russia-Ukraine war, looking at it through the lens of geopolitical security. It aims to unpack the root causes of the conflict, the strategic motivations driving the key players, and the wider implications for international peace and security. The study will also investigate how this conflict has altered global alliances, heightened hybrid security threats, and revealed weaknesses in our current international security systems. Furthermore, it will explore possible future scenarios and policy responses that could shape global stability as the conflict continues.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

The reasons behind Russia's attack on Ukraine have sparked a lot of discussion. The introductory piece by Per Ekman, highlights three main types of explanations: (1) Putin's imperial ambitions, (2) internal factors within Russia, and (3) external pressures. Each of these perspectives sheds light on the situation, but none of them alone can fully explain the events. With that in mind, we propose a comprehensive, multi-faceted explanation for why Russia

launched its attack on Ukraine in February 2022.(9) Jorgen Staun takes a closer look at Russia's attack on Ukraine through the lens of strategic culture. It highlights two key aspects of Russian strategic culture. The first is a deeply ingrained sense of vulnerability, particularly in relation to "the West." To address this perceived threat, Russia's national security apparatus has long stressed the need for strategic depth and buffer zones. The second aspect centers on a belief in its entitlement to great power status. A crucial part of Russia's vision as a great power is the right to maintain a sphere of influence in its Eurasian neighborhood. The article illustrates how Kremlin officials viewed Ukraine's shift toward the West as a significant threat to both Russia's security interests and its aspirations for status. Consequently, the rhetoric surrounding Ukraine became increasingly extreme. The article concludes that this environment of discourse and thought provided the backdrop that allowed Putin to initiate a large-scale attack.(10)

The study by Inna Frank took a close look at how the Russia-Ukraine war has impacted global peace and security. Since it kicked off in February 2022, this conflict has had a profound effect on international relations and stability, particularly in Eastern Europe. It's not just a regional issue; the war has stirred up global geopolitics, shaken economic stability, and raised questions about international law. We've seen energy supplies disrupted, a spike in global food insecurity due to blocked grain exports, and a surge in refugee movements, all of which have led to humanitarian crises across Europe. On top of that, the conflict has put a strain on relationships between major world powers, reigniting tensions reminiscent of the Cold War and challenging established global security frameworks like NATO and the United Nations. To dive into these issues, the researcher used a descriptive and qualitative approach, relying solely on secondary data gathered from academic databases through a systematic search. The analysis was conducted using thematic content analysis. The study revealed the war's complex nature, highlighting the intricate mix of historical, geopolitical, economic, and cultural factors at play. The findings pointed to Ukraine's shift towards the West, Russia's ambitions in the region, and the significant symbolic and strategic importance of contested areas like Crimea and Donbas.(7)

The study by Prosper C. Ogwu dives into the Russia-Ukraine conflict and its effects on today's global security landscape. Grounded in the theory of Offensive Realism, it employs a qualitative descriptive-historical approach, drawing on secondary data sources and content analysis. The findings indicate that the roots of the Russia-Ukraine conflict trace back to the Kievan Rus, the historical foundation for both nations. The study suggests that the conflicting parties should prioritize political solutions over military ones to swiftly resolve the war, allowing for the easing of sanctions that have negatively impacted the global economy and security.(11)

The essay by Derek dives into the idea of security governance to unpack what Russia's intervention in Ukraine means for the rules-based security framework in Europe. It highlights important concepts from the literature regarding the post-Cold War European security landscape, particularly focusing on Russia's involvement, and takes a closer look at the discussions happening within Russia about the Ukraine conflict. Finally, it wraps up by analyzing the hurdles both Europe and Russia face and reflects on the potential for reshaping this order to foster meaningful partnerships and a shared approach to security governance.(12)

#### **MATERIALS & METHODS**

The research utilized both descriptive and analytical approaches to reach its conclusions. To this end, a qualitative method was employed. All data for this study was gathered from books, journals, official reports from organizations, and insights from experts available online. Secondary research methods were consulted and the recommendations analyzed

#### **THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK**

In Realism perspective all through the lens of security and power dynamics. Its perceived that the conflict primarily stemmed from western expansion, which upset the balance between the East and the West. The war can be seen as a natural reaction to the growth of NATO and the EU. Some realist thinkers, like John J. Mearsheimer (13), assert that "the United States and its European allies share most of the responsibility for the crisis". Given that it was clear Ukraine represented a red line for Russia, the West should have been more cautious about

pursuing an expansion that clearly disrupts the balance of power. Realists like Kenneth Waltz argue that states are primarily focused on relative gains. This means that if an 'enemy' is gaining an advantage without directly threatening Russia, it still raises alarms and poses a real threat to the state's security. Take Crimea, for example; it has held significant importance for Russia for centuries. Its geostrategic position bolstered Russia's influence in the Black Sea region. The port of Sevastopol has long been a key asset for Russian interests, and losing it was simply not an option. Even though Russia had a lease on its naval base at Sevastopol until 2042, in the realm of Realpolitik, that didn't guarantee that Ukraine wouldn't one day push the Russian Black Sea Fleet out. With Ukraine moving towards democratization and westernization, there was a real possibility that NATO could take control of that port. This situation can be described as a 'Hobbesian Fear'. From this perspective, Russia, as a great power, felt compelled to act in its own defense.(14)

Offensive realism offers the best lens through which to understand the Russia-Ukraine conflict. It effectively highlights the competitive, aggressive, expansionist, and survival instincts at play, along with the complex issues involved. According to the principles of offensive realism, each side leverages its perceived strengths to pursue its own interests in a chaotic international landscape. Since the end of the Soviet Union, Russia has viewed Ukraine as part of its sphere of influence, considering it a crucial territory. Consequently, Russia perceives NATO's expansion eastward and its moves toward Ukraine as serious threats that must be countered, leading to its invasion as a means of safeguarding its security interests against what it sees as Western encroachment.(11)

Ukraine holds a crucial place in Russia's sense of identity and security. From a strategic viewpoint, it's absolutely essential for Ukraine to remain neutral and not join any Western military alliances; this is the last line of defense the Kremlin is willing to uphold for what it sees as its own security. The long geopolitical borders and the vast landmass that separate the Russian heartland from European nations have historically acted as key safeguards against potential threats from these countries. Russia has engaged in numerous conflicts with European

powers and continues to be shaped by this rich historical backdrop. In the realm of foreign policy, Russian realists stand out as the leading voice, showcasing both consistency and evolution in how they view the ongoing war in Ukraine. They predominantly hold the West and Kyiv accountable for the strained relations with Russia, yet there's a notable disagreement among them regarding the war's origins, Russia's objectives, and the strategies to achieve those goals. The most significant divide lies between Putin's loyalists and radicals on one side, and moderates on the other. The former group tends to point fingers at the West and Ukraine for the crisis, advocating for broader foreign policy ambitions for Russia. In contrast, moderates propose a more nuanced perspective, arguing that Russia should have kept diplomatic channels open with Western nations after February 24 and that pursuing diplomacy is the only valid path to resolving the conflict.(15)

#### DISCUSSION

It's pretty obvious that the current security setup in Europe needs some serious changes. As two Russian experts point out, "In none of the crises since the end of the bipolar era—whether it was NATO's intervention in Yugoslavia in 1999, the 2008 conflict in Georgia, or the ongoing situation in Ukraine—have the existing security organizations been able to do their job effectively." When it really comes down to it, the relationship between the West and Russia holds more weight than that between the West and Ukraine. The West relies on Russia to help tackle conflicts in the Middle East, as we've seen with the successful negotiations with Iran, and to address global challenges like nuclear proliferation, terrorism, and climate change. A stronger bond between Russia and the West could actually benefit Ukraine, while the reverse isn't necessarily the case. Ukraine can maintain trade ties with both the West and Russia, but it might be wiser for Ukraine to steer clear of NATO membership—at least until a lasting solution with Russia is in place.(16)

The full-scale invasion by Russia and the ongoing hybrid war have sparked a powerful response from both the Ukrainian government and civil society. They've come together to fight back against Russian aggression, mobilizing volunteers on Telegram to engage in innovative forms of

civic activism and resistance. This includes participating in initiatives like the “information army,” “IT army,” and “Internet armed forces.” Telegram serves as a vital communication platform, connecting the government with volunteers and allowing them to coordinate their efforts effectively. This social network is viewed as a means to anonymously target Russian and pro-Russian Telegram channels, as well as other social media accounts, to get them banned and combat disinformation and harmful content. Additionally, it’s widely used to share success stories of resistance with the public, helping to strengthen the resilience of Ukrainian citizens.(17)

The world’s reliance on fossil fuels is facing some tough challenges as we move towards a new energy era. This shift is complicated by geopolitical issues like the Russia-Ukraine conflict, fluctuating oil prices, and the global push for decarbonization. Indonesia finds itself in a unique and tricky spot as a developing nation rich in fossil energy resources while also aiming for ambitious renewable energy goals. Energy security has become a pressing national concern, intertwined with broader international geopolitical strategies.(18) The ongoing conflict between Russia and Ukraine, along with the geopolitical strategies that come into play—like the sanctions on Russian fossil fuel exports—are having a major impact on global energy transitions and greenhouse gas emissions. When energy supply chains get disrupted, it affects everything from energy production and prices to consumption, ultimately shaping how quickly we can move towards renewable energy sources.(19) Russia’s invasion of Ukraine isn’t just a conflict for Europe; it’s a war that resonates globally. What makes it a worldwide issue are the fundamental principles involved—think international law, colonialism, democracy, and human rights—as well as the far-reaching consequences, like the energy crisis, food security challenges, and the threat of nuclear proliferation.(20) Six months into the conflict, natural gas prices skyrocketed by an average of 78.65% across global markets. The European Union, Russia, the United Kingdom, Japan, and South Korea experienced some of the most significant price jumps, while increases in China, the United States, and Australia were relatively modest. Despite these fluctuations in pricing, the

overall security of natural gas supply in major international markets remained mostly stable.(21) It seems pretty clear that Russia isn’t going to back down anytime soon, even with the heavy military losses it’s faced in Ukraine. The stakes—security and status—are crucial for any government in Moscow. So, no matter who’s in charge at the Kremlin, they’re going to want to keep Ukraine within their sphere of influence. That said, it doesn’t necessarily mean that any Russian leader would have opted for a full-scale invasion. Putin’s personal ambitions and beliefs, particularly about how willing Ukrainians are to fight back, likely influenced this decision. Nevertheless, considering Russia’s entrenched strategic culture and the growing radicalization of its narratives, any leader in the Kremlin would probably feel inclined to take a strong stance against Ukraine to safeguard Russia’s perceived security interests and aspirations as a great power.(10)

The MENA region is particularly vulnerable to the fallout from the Russia-Ukraine war and the resulting price hikes. Countries like Egypt, Sudan, and Yemen are heavily dependent on cereal imports from these two nations. In Yemen, fuel prices have skyrocketed in the southern governorates, drawing traders from the north. This has led to fuel shortages in the south and inflated prices across both regions. It’s estimated that local transport, distribution costs, and taxes account for roughly three-fourths of the consumer fuel price in the northern governorates and about two-thirds in the south.(22) These soaring fuel prices are likely to drive up food costs in the short term due to increased transportation expenses, while also making local agricultural production prohibitively expensive because of rising irrigation costs.(22)

## CONCLUSION

The ongoing Russian aggression against Ukraine brings about new kinds of threats that extend far beyond traditional battlefields, impacting diplomatic, economic, social, and informational areas. Disinformation stands out as one of the key hybrid threats, proving to be just as harmful in physical contexts as it is in the psychological and cognitive aspects of hybrid warfare.(17) The ongoing Russian aggression against Ukraine is creating a whole new set of threats that extend far beyond traditional battlefields, affecting

diplomatic, economic, social, and informational areas. One of the most significant hybrid threats we're seeing is disinformation, which has proven to be just as damaging. The conflict has also had a notable and positive effect on natural gas prices, particularly impacting regions that are close to the fighting, like Russia, the European Union, the United Kingdom, Japan, and South Korea, all of which rely heavily on natural gas imports. On the flip side, countries such as China, the United States, and Australia, which depend less on these imports—especially the U.S. and Australia—are experiencing relatively minor price fluctuations. Additionally, the conflict is having a serious negative impact on the security of natural gas supplies, as shown by various supply security indicators. This effect is consistent across all regions, whether they are near or far from the conflict, likely due to the interconnected nature of the global natural gas market. The way the Russia-Ukraine conflict influences supply security is largely driven by shifts in supply and demand, which tend to exacerbate the negative consequences. However, changes in natural gas prices themselves don't seem to play a role in mediating this impact or in alleviating supply security concerns.(21)

To wrap things up, the Russia-Ukraine conflict really highlights how traditional and non-traditional security issues are all tangled up in influencing global stability. This war has laid bare the flaws in our current global conflict resolution systems, while also underscoring the lasting importance of strategic geography, alliances, and energy security. Moving forward, finding a lasting solution will take more than just military adjustments; it will also need strong diplomatic efforts, strategic restraint from all major powers, and better ways to tackle hybrid threats. If we don't address these aspects, we could be looking at a long stretch of geopolitical instability that affects everyone around the world.

## REFERENCES

Vorbrugg A, Bluwstein J. Making sense of (the Russian war in) Ukraine: On the politics of knowledge and expertise. *Political geography*. 2022;98:102700.  
 Jakupec V. *The West's Response to the Ukraine War: Military Struggles, NATO Challenges, and the Reimagining of Global Politics*: Springer Nature; 2025.

Wartenberg D. THE NATO-RUSSIA COUNCIL: WHY THE INSTITUTIONALIZATION OF NATO-RUSSIA RELATIONS FAILED. 2024.  
 Trenin D. The Ukraine crisis and the resumption of great-power rivalry: Carnegie Moscow Center Moscow; 2014.  
 OPERATIONS M. DEFENDING NATO'S NORTHERN FLANK.  
 Riddervold M, Rieker P. Finally coming of age? EU foreign and security policy after Ukraine. *European Security*. 2024;33(3):497–516.  
 Ogbise IF. RUSSIA UKRAINE WAR AND THE SEARCH FOR GLOBAL PEACE AND SECURITY. 2025.  
 Al-Hasnawi AHM. The Russian-Ukrainian war and its implications for international security: a geopolitical vision. *Texas Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*. 2022;9:177–92.  
 Götz E, Ekman P. Russia's War Against Ukraine: Context, Causes, and Consequences. *Problems of Post-Communism*. 2024;71(3):193–205.  
 Götz E, Staun J. Why Russia attacked Ukraine: Strategic culture and radicalized narratives. *Contemporary Security Policy*. 2022;43(3):482–97.  
 Okoro IO, Ndubuisi JO, Ogwu PC. The Russia-Ukraine conflict and its impact on contemporary global security. *International Journal of Management Studies and Social Science Research*. 2022;4(6).  
 Averre D. The Ukraine Conflict: Russia's Challenge to European Security Governance. *Europe-Asia Studies*. 2016;68(4):699–725.  
 Mearsheimer JJ. Why the Ukraine crisis is the West's fault: the liberal delusions that provoked Putin. *Foreign Aff*. 2014;93:77.  
 Berebon C. Analysing the Russia-Ukraine Conflict from Liberal and Realist Perspectives. *GNOSI: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Human Theory and Praxis*. 2023;6(2):87–98.

- Tsygankov AP. At war with the West: Russian realism and the conflict in Ukraine. *Journal of Military and Strategic Studies*. 2022;22(2).
- Sauer T. The origins of the Ukraine crisis and the need for collective security between Russia and the West. *Global policy*. 2017;8(1):82-91.
- Sirinyok-Dolgaryova K, Yurtayeva K, Taranenko A. Countering Disinformation Among Hybrid Threats in the Russia-Ukraine War: Building Resilience Through Government and Civil Society Cooperation. Available at SSRN 4882578.
- Azzahra A. Energy Geopolitics in the Transition Era: Indonesia's Strategy in Creating Energy Security Amidst Global Crisis. *Journal of Political Innovation and Analysis*. 2025;2(1):12-21.
- Shi X, Sun K, Peng X, Xue J, Sun Q. Geopolitics, Sanctions, and the Global Energy Transition: Assessing the Impacts of the Russia-Ukraine Conflict on Energy Markets and GHG Emissions. *Navigating the Complexities of Energy Transitions in East Asia: Analytical Insights*: Springer; 2025. p. 53-78.
- Tocci N. Bipolar, Multipolar, Nonpolar All at Once: Our World at the Time of the Russia-Ukraine War. *ARIES*. 2023;23:42.
- Lv X, Liu L, Bai M. Geopolitical Challenges and Natural Gas Supply. *Economic Analysis Letters*. 2025;4(1):45-53.
22. Abay KA, Breisinger C, Glauber J, Kurdi S, Laborde D, Siddig K. The Russia-Ukraine war: Implications for global and regional food security and potential policy responses. *Global Food Security*. 2023;36:100675.

